
EXHIBIT 3

You've Got Mail: The promise of cyber communication in prisons and need for regulation

Securus Technologies, Public Lender Presentation (Apr. 15, 2015)





Public Lender Presentation

April 15, 2015

Disclaimer

By accepting this presentation, the recipients hereof acknowledge that they have read, understood and accepted the terms of this Disclaimer. This presentation is the property of, and contains the proprietary and confidential information of the Company and is being provided solely for informational purposes.

The historical and projected financial information in this presentation includes financial information that is not presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). Non-GAAP financial measures may be considered in addition to GAAP financial information, but should not be used as substitutes for the corresponding GAAP measures. Non-GAAP measures in this presentation may be calculated in ways that are not comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

No representation or warranty, express or implied, is or will be given by the Company or its affiliates, directors, officers, partners, employees, agents or advisers or any other person as to the accuracy, completeness, reasonableness or fairness of any information contained in this presentation and no responsibility or liability whatsoever is accepted for the accuracy or sufficiency thereof or for any errors, omissions or misstatements, negligent or otherwise, relating thereto. Accordingly, this presentation should not be relied upon for the purpose of evaluating the performance of the Company or for any other purpose, and neither the Company nor any of its affiliates, directors, officers, partners, employees, agents or advisers nor any other person, shall be liable for any direct, indirect or consequential liability, loss or damages suffered by any person as a result of this presentation or their reliance on any statement, estimate, target, projection or forward-looking information in or omission from this presentation and any such liability is expressly disciaimed. In all cases, interest parties should conduct their own investigation and analysis of the Company and the information contained herein.

This presentation is for the exclusive use of the persons to whom it is addressed and their respective representatives and is strictly confidential and may not be reproduced or provided, in whole or in part, to any other party without the express written consent of the Company and should be returned upon the request of the Company. By its receipt hereof, each recipient agrees (in addition to any other obligations it may have) that neither it nor its directors, officers, employees, agents or representatives will copy, reproduce or distribute to others this presentation or any information contained herein, in whole or in part, at any time without the prior written consent of the Company and that it will keep permanently confidential all information contained herein and will use this presentation for the sole purpose of deciding whether to proceed with a further investigation of the Company.

This presentation is subject to the terms set forth in the Notice to and Undertaking by Recipients and the Special Notice set forth in the Confidential Information Memorandum. The Company reserves the right to require the return or destruction of this presentation (together with any copies or extracts thereof) at any time. This presentation should not be considered as a recommendation by the Company or any affiliate or other person in relation to the Company, nor does it constitute an offer or invitation for the sale or purchase of the shares, assets or business of the Company and shall not form the basis of any contract. By accepting this presentation, recipients acknowledge that they have read, understood and accepted the terms of this Disclaimer.

EACH ATTENDEE ACKNOWLEDGES THAT OTHER LENDERS HAVE RECEIVED A SUPPLEMENT TO THIS PRESENTATION THAT CONTAINS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO THE COMPANY OR ITS SECURITIES THAT MAY BE MATERIAL. NEITHER THE COMPANY NOR THE ARRANGERS TAKES ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RECIPIENT'S DECISION TO LIMIT THE SCOPE OF THE INFORMATION IT HAS OBTAINED IN CONNECTION WITH ITS EVALUATION OF THE COMPANY AND THE FACILITY DESCRIBED HEREIN.


Presenters

Securus Technologies Inc.

- Richard A. Smith
 - Chief Executive Officer
- Geoff Boyd
 - Chief Financial Officer

Deutsche Bank

Agenda



Transaction Overview

- On April 2, 2015, Securus signed a definitive agreement to acquire JPay, Inc. for \$250mm in cash (excluding potential earn-outs), representing an 11.9x TEV / EBITDA purchase multiple on ~\$21mm of preliminary LTM 3/31/2015 PF Adj. EBITDA
- JPay is a leading provider of technology products used to provide inmate banking, communication services, and digital media consumption, servicing more than one million inmates in 29 states
- The combination of JPay and Securus will provide for material cross-sell / up-sell opportunities (alongside combination cost-savings), will further accelerate Securus growth and broaden its revenue base
- The transaction is expected to be funded through a mix of debt and equity, and result in pro forma Net 1st Lien and Net Total Leverage of ~4.3x⁽¹⁾ / ~5.4x⁽¹⁾, respectively:
 - \$205mm Incremental Term Loan B-2
 - \$50mm additional common equity contribution from ABRY Partners

(1) LTM 3/31/2015 PF Adj. EBITDA is preliminary Securus LTM 3/31/2015 EBITDA of \$121mm, plus preliminary JPay LTM 3/31/2015 PF Adj. EBITDA of \$21mm

SECURUS Technologies | 5

Sources & Uses and Pro Forma Capitalization

(\$ in millions)	
Sources	
Add-on first lien term loan	\$205
Sponsor equity proceeds(a)	50
Cash from balance sheet	2
Total sources	\$257

Uses	THE PLANT
Purchase equity	\$250
Estimated fees, expenses and OID	7
Total uses	\$257

THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON		Pro	forma capitaliza	tion			
	At I	_80					
	3/31/13	xEBITDA	Current	xEBITDA	Adjustments	Pro Forma	XEBITDA
Cash	\$4		\$18		(\$2)	\$16	
Revolver (\$50.0 million)	-5					-	
xisting Term Loan B-1	350		419		1	419	
ncremental Term Loan B-2					205	205	
Total first lien debt	\$355	4.2x	\$419	3.5x	1 -	\$624	4.4x
Net first lien debt	\$351	4.1x	\$401	3.3x		\$608	4.3x
nd lien term loan	140		165			165	
Total debt	\$495	5.8x	\$584	4.8x	1 1	\$789	5.6x
Net debt	\$491	5.8x	\$566	4.7x		\$773	5.4x
ponsor and management cash equity	170		197		50(11)	247	
Total capitalization	\$665	7.8x	\$781		1 1	\$1,036	
TM Adj. EBITDA	\$85		\$121		21	\$142(0)	
PF Interest expense	30		35		12	47	
Adj. EBITDA / interest expense	2.9x		3.5x		1	3.0x	

(a) Sponsor equity can be reduced to \$40mm in lieu of company cash at closing
(b) LTM 3/31/2015 PF Adj. EBITDA is preliminary Securus LTM 3/31/2015 EBITDA of \$121mm, plus preliminary JPay LTM 3/31/2015 PF Adj. EBITDA of \$21mm

Summary of Incremental Term Loan B-2

Facility:	Incremental Term Loan B-2
Amount:	\$205 million
Coupon:	TBD
LIBOR floor:	1.00%
OID:	TBD
Maturity:	April 2020 (Same as Existing Term Loan B-1)
Amortization:	1% per year
Optional redemption:	101 soft-call for 6 months
Mandatory prepayments:	Same as Existing Term Loan B-1
Financial covenants:	None
Other covenants:	Same as Existing Term Loan B-1


Indicative Transaction Timeline

April 2015								
S	M	T	W	T	F	S		
			1	2	3	4		
5	6	7	8	9	10	11		
12	13	14	15	16	17	18		
19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
26	27	28	29	30				

1000	Denotes Holiday	Denotes Key Date
-	•	

Date	Activity
April 15 th	Host Lenders Call
April 24th	Expected Pricing
Early / Late July	Close & Fund

Agenda



Company Overview

Situation Update

2015 YTD March, 2015 Financial Results

	Q1 2014 vs. Q1 2015		Q12015 vs.	Equity Budget	Q12015 vs. Bank Model		
	Percent	<u>Performance</u>	Percent	<u>Performance</u>	Percent	Performance	
Revenue	+10.1%	Good	+7.2%	Good	+6.5%	Good	
Adjusted EBITDA	+23.1%	Good	+20.8%	Good	+16.6%	Good	
Free Cash Flow	+9.3%	Good	+26.2%	Good	+19.6%	Good	

Comments:

- · Record High Q12015 Revenue, EBITDA, and Free Cash Flow
- · Record High Prepaid Percent = 96.3%
- Q12015 EBITDA Annualized = \$133M > 2015 Equity Budget
- · Project Initiatives +27.0% vs. Budget
- Same Store Call Volume Variance vs. 2014 = +15%
- Q12015 YTD Bad Debt = .6%
- · Q12015 Annualized Net Wins = Record High

JPay Transaction

- Known JPay Team for +5 years, Past Partnering on RFPs
- Have Attempted to Purchase Them for Last Four (4) Years
- Purchase for \$250M + Earn Out
- EBITDA Forecast (Smith) of \$40M in 4 Years Without Growth ≈ 6.3X EBITDA Multiple
- · High DOC Penetration + Low County Penetration
- Fits Acquisition Filter
 - Government Services
 - High Tech/Software Focused
 - Revenue and EBITDA Growth +10%
 - Corrections Sector
 - Good Management
 - High Integrity
 - Positive NPV and Good IRR

Performance code:

- Green = Good (> better than 3.0%)
- Yellow = OK (+/- 3.0%) Red = Poor (> worse than 3.0%)

SECURUS Technologies | 11

Securus at a Glance

Company Highlights

- Leading provider of advanced inmate communications, investigative technologies and information management solutions to the corrections industry in the U.S. and Canada
- Stable and recurring revenue model supported by long-term, multi-year customer contracts
- Executive Team Average 14 Years Working Together
- · 68 Sales Team Associates
 - +70K Contacts with Facility Customers Annually
- 143 United States Patents Approved, 87 Pending
 - · Collected \$60M in License Agreements
 - · 3X As Many Patents as the Entire Industry
- · Private Equity Sponsored: ABRY Partners
- Key Financial Metrics (LTM March 2015)
 - \$415M Revenue
 - \$121M Adj. EBITDA
 - \$84M Free Cash Flow⁽¹⁾

(1) Free Cash Flow defined as Adj. EBITDA less Capital Expenditures

	Business	Snapshot	
Founded:	1987	Facilities:	2,600
Headquarters:	Dallas, TX	Number of states:	47
Associates:	1,000+	IT Development Associates:	200

Product Overview

- Provides High-Tech Products and Services to Law Enforcement and Corrections Sector
 - Audio Outbound Inmate Calling
 - Video Visitation
 - Parolee GPS Monitoring
 - Voice Biometrics
 - Inmate Tablets
 - Data Analytics
 - Jail Management Systems
 - Interactive Voice Response Systems
 - Managed Access Service (wireless contraband)
 - Location Based Services
 - Mobile Marketing Services

Securus Management Team

Name	Position	Years with Securus	Years Working Together
Richard A. Smith	Chief Executive Officer	7	3 - 20
Robert E. Pickens	President	7	16
Geoffrey M. Boyd	Chief Financial Officer	2	9
Dennis Reinhold	General Counsel	10	7
Patrick Brolsma	Vice President, Corporate Development	7	15
Joshua Conklin	Vice President, Sales	5	16
Daniel de Hoyos	Vice President, Service and Technical Operations	7	12
Larry Ehlers	Vice President, Application	6	11
Arlin Goldberg	Chief Information Officer	7	16
David Kunde	Vice President, Network Operations	4	20
Kathryn Lengyel	Vice President, Human Resources	8	7
Russell Roberts	Vice President, Marketing and Corporate Strategy	7	7
Jon Secrest	Vice President, New Growth Opportunities	< 1	9
Dan Wigger	Vice President and Managing Director, Managed Access Systems	< 1	3

Executive Team averages 11 years working together.

Has built the most advanced and comprehensive platform of technology solutions serving the corrections industry.

Inmate Telecommunications Overview


Keyword spotting

· Variety of payment options

Key Functions Monitor Credit Risk Billing & Customer Care Securus bills inmates for the Summary of Outbound Inmate Call calls they make and the technology SCP rule engine SCP authenticates services they use Inmate initiates phone call using determines permitted calls. inmate identity. Securus secure phone. Nearly 95% of the Company's total Restricted numbers · PIN direct provisioning · Call to attorney Voice biometrics revenue are "prepaid" · Covert alert · Continuous voice recognition Securus pays a negotiated commission to the SCP monitors SCP establishes Inmate concludes call. correctional facility and records call. payment parameters. based upon actual · Real-time credit assessment · Call forwarding detection usage of services by inmates · Three-way call detection · Prepaid and collect

Specialized Systems And Technology Create High Barriers To Entry

Securus Sites




Sites Under Contract

- State DOC Sites
- County/Regional/ City Accounts
- STOP Inmate Monitoring Customers

SECURUS Technologies | 15

Historical Adj. EBITDA



Eschelon EBITDA, 1996 to 2007 (Competitive Local Exchange Carrier)



The Executive Team at Securus has a long history of good operating and financial performance including at Eschelon, for nine (9) years.

SECURUS Technologies | 17

Key Credit Highlights

Experienced Management Team

Securus Represents an Attractive Credit Investment Average revenue retention of 94% over the last 5 years Recurring Revenue Model With Long Term Contracts 3 to 5 year contracts with majority on automatic renewals without RFP 2,600 facilities across 47 states, D.C and Canada Diverse Customer Base With Top 10 customers account for ~32% of revenue, long standing relationships with average lenure of ~10 years $\,$ Minimal Customer Concentration Patented, proprietary technology platform Industry Leading Technology Efficient centralized technology model is CapEx and OpEx efficient Platform -\$75B corrections industry represents a large, recession-resistant and stable market Large, Stable And Growing Inmate population and corrections expenditures have grown steadily for 3 decades **Corrections Industry** The acquisition of JPay results in a comprehensive communication and tech-enabled solution Diversified Service Offering in provider Highly Attractive Segments Well positioned for organic growth through numerous initiatives currently underway Quality of revenue significantly improved: Higher prepaid revenue, lower bad debt expense, low wholesale revenue Exceptional Financial Increased Adj. EBITDA by 272% from 2007 to 2014 Performance Since 2008 Number of recent wins against GTL proves Securus' superior operating model Experienced, cohesive team with 16 year track record of value creation

Implemented numerous initiatives to double EBITDA from 2007 to 2014

Continuous operational improvement and margin expansion

Summary Q1-15 and FY2014 Financial Results vs. Budget and Prior Year


(\$ in thousands)

	SECTION AND	Q1 2015 vs	Budget			FY2014 vs	. Budget	
	Actual	Budget	Variance	%	Actual	Budget	Variance	%
Revenue	\$ 110,955	\$ 104,177	\$ 6,778	6.5%	\$ 404,617	\$ 399,045	\$ 5,572	1.4%
Cost of Service	51.568	50,608	959	1.9%	197,885	207,538	(9,653)	-4.7%
Selling, General & Admin. \1	26.022	24,952	1,071	4.3%	92,147	88,736	3,411	3.8%
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 33,365		\$ 4,748	16.6%	\$ 114,585	\$ 102,771	\$ 11,813	11.5%
Capital Expenditures \2	10,633	9,616	1,017	10.6%	32,598	31,490	1,108	3.5%
Adjusted Free Cash Flow	\$ 22,732		\$ 3,731	19.6%	\$ 81,987	\$ 71,281	\$ 10,705	15.0%
Calls	39.479	37,721	1,758	4.7%	130,573	127,021	3,552	2.8%
ARPC	\$ 2.36		0.08	3.3%	\$ 2.58	\$ 2.65	(0.07)	-2.6%
	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	Q1 2015 vs.	Prior Year	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	7 S Blaz	FY2014 vs.	Prior Year	
	Q1 15	Q1 14	Variance	%	FY2014	FY2013	Variance	%
Revenue	\$ 110,955	\$ 100,746	\$ 10,209	10.1%	\$ 404,617	\$ 366,671	\$ 37,946	10.3%
Cost of Service	51,568		(483)	-0.9%	197,885	207,427	(9,542)	-4.6%
Selling, General & Admin. \1	26.022		4,438	20.6%	92,147	72,200	19,947	27.6%
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 33,365	\$ 27,111	\$ 6,254	23.1%	\$ 114,585	\$ 87,044	\$ 27,541	31.6%
Capital Expenditures \2	10.633	6,323	4,310	68.2%	32,598	23,280	9,318	40.0%
Adjusted Free Cash Flow	\$ 22,732			9.3%	\$ 81,987	\$ 63,765	\$ 18,222	28.6%
Calls	39,479	31,308	8,171	26.1%	130,573	117,002	13,571	11.6%
ARPC	\$ 2.36		(0.38)	-13.9%	\$ 2.58	\$ 2.89	(0.30)	-10.4%

^{\1} Adjusted for add-backs

^{\2} Adjusted for Vanu license acquisition

Large and Growing Government Services Opportunity For Technology Enabled Solutions



Large and growing government services opportunity for bundled technology solutions with Securus market share of 4%.

SECURUS Technologies | 20

Proven Ability to Win Business



Sales Team Initiatives

- Sales Team Leadership Change
- Largest Sales Team in the Sector
- Focus on New Sales
- New Product Development / Acquisitions
 - Archonix
 - · STOP
 - DirectHit
 - Telerus
 - · Text 2 Connect
 - · Primonics
 - · Location Based Services
 - Pay Now / Instant Pay
 - · Inmate Tablet
 - Managed Access Systems (MAS)
- Improvements in SCP
- Insourced Call Center
- Sales Team Training
- Hiring for Sales Ability versus Relationships Only
- Commission Plan Incents Proper Behavior

Securus has shown an increasing ability to win new business – generated \$200 million in new business from 2009 through 2015 Annualized

SECURUS Technologies | 21

Meaningful Advantages Over GTL Underscore Securus' Sustainable Competitive Position¹

SECURUS Technologies	Advantage	Criteria .	GTL	Advantage
Fechnology, customer service and sales	1	Investment and Strategic Focus	Integrating series of acquisitions	
With core operations	/	Location of Executives	Separate from core operations	
Use own employees	✓	Technical Field Service	Outsourced	
Use own employees	/	Call Center / Funding Options	Outsourced	
Positive	✓	Organic Revenue Growth	Estimated Negative – 2011 to 2014	
100%	1	% of Facilities on Centralized Platform	20%	
143 awarded / 87 pending	1	Patent Portfolio	45 awarded / 11 pending	
68	1	Size of Sales force	= 35	
200+	/	# of Software Developers	≈ 50	
≈ 700	1	# of Centralized Platform Features	≈ 350	
Pay / MAS / STOP / CellBlox / Vanu Tactical	1	Voice Biometrics, MAS, Mobile Marketing, Inmate Tracking, Media, Communications	Primarily Partnering or No Comparable Product Available	
Purchased Direct Hit Systems	✓	Data Analysis for Law Enforcement	Unknown	
3	✓	2103 to 2015 DOC New Wins	0	
\$45M	1	New Wins in 2013 - 2015 from GTL or Securus	= \$4M	
+\$400M	/	New Acquisitions - Product Enhancements	= \$30M	

Securus provides a best in class set of business attributes for facilities, inmates, friends/family members, and investors

¹Most of the GTL comparable metrics are estimated by interviewing past Associates of GTL, conversations with existing Associates of GTL, review of old financing documents, and review of GTL RFP responses. If the reader is concerned with accuracy, they should review directly with GTL to get comparable metrics.

Federal Communications
Commission Update

Summary of Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Potential Order on Inmate Rates

Timing:

- Order Issued/Voted On July/August, 2015
- Posted to Federal Register September/October, 2015
- Implementation Date November/December, 2015
- Excludes Litigation Delays

FCC Objectives:

- · Reduce calling rates
- · Created business environment that promotes competition
- Fairness to all parties
- Eliminate/reduce facility commission payments
- · Give all parties a chance to submit data
- Cap/better manage ancillary charges
- Transition plan that allows facilities to replace commissions with public funding
- Allow carriers a reasonable/appropriate rate of return

Securus Contribution:

- First Cost Study submitted on time that met FCC requirements
- First/Only Elasticity Study
- 14 Meetings with FCC/Staff in last 13 months to educate and discuss Securus positions
- Discussions with National Sheriff's Association (NSA) at the request of FCC to craft a compromise
- Securus' Position on Commissions IT IS THE FCC'S decision to allow or not facility commissions. Commission are used for good causes and the FCC should allow some level AS LONG AS they are added to Securus' costs that the FCC allows
- Filed complaints against two (2) carriers and one (1) agent with Enforcement Division of FCC at the request of FCC for violations of Interim Order in 2014

Summary of Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Potential Order on Inmate Rates

Interim FCC Order on Interstate Rates:

- Implemented on February 11, 2014
- · Rate Caps implemented for interstate
- · Did not change ancillary charges
- Rates reduced by 10% to 80%, 38% for Securus
- Accomplished FCC Objectives
- Securus implemented interstate rate caps and eliminated interstate commissions with neutral to a modestly positive EBITDA impact including some positive elasticity of demand with respect to price


Final FCC Order Expectations:

- Price caps set ≥ cost including return on debt and equity
- Transition period of ≤ 2 years
- · Capping of ancillary charges
- Prison/jail distinction
- Commissions are reduced or eliminated
- · No by facility rate of return approvals
- No significant incremental filing requirements
- Neutral to a modestly positive EBITDA impact on Securus including elasticity impact

It is the FCC's decision to allow or not allow commissions - as long as it is added to Securus' rate filed in the Cost Study.

We expect the FCC's Final Order to be neutral to modestly positive on Securus' EBITDA.

Progression to Higher Percentage of Deregulated Business



By investing in businesses that are not regulated by the FCC / PSC / PUCs, Securus has successfully decreased its exposure to potential rate of return regulation

JPay, and Fees

Note: "FCC" = Federal Communications Commission, "PSC" = Public Service Commission, "PUC" = Public Utility Commission

⁽¹⁾ Regulated EBITDA excludes EBITDA from DirectHit, Archonix, STOP, Telerus, JLG, Vanu Tactical, GSSC, Location Based Services, 3rd Party Mobile Marketing Services, Patent Ucense Agreements, and Fees (2) Regulated EBITDA excludes EBITDA from DirectHit, Archonix, STOP, Telerus, JLG, Vanu Tactical, CellBlox, GSSC, Location Based Services, 3rd Party Mobile Marketing Services, Patent License Agreements, and Fees (2) Regulated EBITDA excludes EBITDA from DirectHit, Archonix, STOP, Telerus, JLG, Vanu Tactical, CellBlox, GSSC, Location Based Services, 3rd Party Mobile Marketing Services, Patent License Agreements, and Fees (2) Regulated EBITDA excludes EBITDA from DirectHit, Archonix, STOP, Telerus, JLG, Vanu Tactical, CellBlox, GSSC, Location Based Services, 3rd Party Mobile Marketing Services, Patent License Agreements, and Fees (2) Regulated EBITDA excludes EBITDA from DirectHit, Archonix, STOP, Telerus, JLG, Vanu Tactical, CellBlox, GSSC, Location Based Services, 3rd Party Mobile Marketing Services, Patent License Agreements, and Fees (2) Regulated EBITDA excludes EBITDA from DirectHit, Archonix, STOP, Telerus, JLG, Vanu Tactical, CellBlox, GSSC, Location Based Services, Based Serv

Interstate Inmate Rates - WC Docket No. 12-375

Inmate Rates - What do the Various Parties Really Want?

Inmates, Friends and Family - Low rates response a feet a more consistent rate dish, and these type communicate more often

Correctional Facilities - Security, safety, recovery of (CS) related costs, 2 yeartransition plan and pesitechnology

ICS Providers - Recovery of all coasts to provide ICS, the sority to continue serving all facilities the sority to continue orbit ing facilities with sefety, security, or me

FCC - Low rates on fees competitive mode, more communication between inmates and the offrends and family leading to reduced repotivism, safety, security and simplicity

How Can Everyone's Objectives be Met?

Simplicity - Same rate for every call (interstate and intrastate): 5.20 minute prepaid, 5.24 minute collect, and no per call surcharge. The simplified rate structure will make ICS charges more transparent for inmates and their friends and family, and will discourage gaming

Recovery of ICS Provider's Costs - The Commission's Mandatory Data Collection indicated that ICS provider's costs range from approximately 5,134 per minute to 5,197 The proposed rate caps allow sufficient flexibitity to ensure ICS providers are able to serve all correctional facilities, maintain security features, regardless of size or

location FTI Consulting explains why sound ratemaking requires rates to be set above average cost

The Commission should permit an ICS provider to seek a waiver of the rate cap for a particular correctional facility if the ICS provider can demonstrate that the proposed rate cap does not allow the ICS provider to economically serve the correctional facility

Administrative Support Payments for Correctional Facilities - NSA member survey data indicates that costs range from \$ 004 per minute to \$1.74 per minute

- Correctional facilities should be reimbursed for the direct costs they incur in connection with ICS. The cost recovery mechanism should be an independent per minute rate component that the Commission derives from the cost figures it receives from correctional authorities
- Any per minuterate must be addrive to the ICS rate cap, because no commissions or admin, support payments were included in ICS provider's costs submitted to the Commission

Ancillary Fees - Eliminate the majority of ancillary fees. Cap funding fees at \$7.50, Maximum validation fee of \$% per call, maximum \$2.50 administrative fee for third-party money transfer services, retain optional premium payment services so long as there is always a free alternative.

Transition - 24 months or 2 budget cycles

February 5, 2015



Federal Communications Commission Comments on Facility Commissions Viability

Chairman Wheeler

October 17, 2014 (FNPRM)

No one could mistake ICS as a competitive market to day when exorbitant rates are driven by site commissions demanded by correctional facilities..."

Commissioner Clyburn

September 26, 2013 (Inmate Rate Order)

"We also make clear that site commissions are not related to the cost of providing mate calling services, and therefore cannot be included in the interstate rate."

October 17, 2014 (FNPRM)

outrageous costs, and payments from the providers to those facilities - known as site commissions - have skyrocketed to as high as 96% of gross revenues.

July 9, 2014 (Inmate Rate Workshop)

'In addition to rate caps, the Commission also made clear that regardless of the value or benefits that <u>site commissions</u> may provide to inmates, through inmate welfare programs or other services, <u>such payments</u>, <u>should not be part of interstate inmate calling rates because they have no direct bearing on the cost of providing communications services.</u>


Commissioner Rosenworcel

October 17, 2014 (FNPRM)

This new rulemaking seeks to address the exorbitant rates that prisoners and families of prisoners still face for in-state calls and slew of suspect fees for ancillary services and commission charges.

March 4, 2015

Agenda



Company Overview

Company Overview

- Servicing more than one million inmates and over 1.1 million probation & parole individuals in 29 states
- Product categories are broken down as follows:
 - Payment Services: Includes money transfer and release debit cards
 - 2. Communications: Includes email, photo attachments, VideoGrams, video visitation and grievances
 - 3. Digital Media: Includes music, eBooks, games, videos, commissary ordering and an education platform
- Generates revenue via transaction fees (payments), product-usage fees (email, video visitation), download fees (media), and hardware sales (tablets)
- Founded in 2002, headquartered in Miramar, FL and currently has 265 employees
- Delaware Corp, privately-held by founders, family and other investors

Rapid Financial Growth (\$mm)


New state contracts, implementation of new services under existing contracts, and a continuous increase in user adoption has contributed to JPay's strong financial growth across all product lines



Comprehensive and Proprietary Product Offering

	Payments		Digital Media
Product Description	 Enables friends and family to send money to inmates and for offenders to make parole and probation (P&P) payments Six unique payment channels available When inmates are released, a release debit card is given to inmates with their account balance and "gate money" 	 Email includes both inbound and outbound as well as photo attachments VideoGrams are 30 second video clips sent by family from JPay's android or iPhone app – inmates can also respond with a video clip Video visitation consists of 30 minute visitation sessions Grievances digitize the communication between staff and inmates from the kiosk 	 Provides inmates with the opportunity to download and enjoy digital media, including music, eBooks and education Offers digital media tablets enabled with native applications Commissary ordering system allows inmates to purchase goods based on rules, inventory and pricing set and provided by the agency or commissary vendor
Key Characteristics	Licensed money transmitter, fully compliant with all federal and state money transmitter statutes Funds are generally available the next day Eliminates the need for prisons to process paper and cash payments All transactional data is recorded, analyzed and reported online	 All email, photos and VideoGrams are categorized according to designated words, senders or inmates Video visits are recorded and can also be monitored in real time Ease of access from any location 	 JP4 and JP5 tablets Extensive library of censored music, media and eBooks Interactive commissary catalog and shopping cart Wireless connectivity brings instant gratification to purchases and communications
2014 Revenue	• \$53.9 million	• \$8.5 million	• \$8.0 million
% of Total	• 77%	• 12%	- 11%

Robust and Diversified Growth Profile




(\$ in millions)	2011	2012	2013	2014
Payments	\$26.7	\$31.5	\$40.5	\$53.9
Communications	3.2	3.4	5.0	8.5
Media	0.5	1.1	4.4	8.0
Total	\$30.4	\$36.1	\$49.9	\$70.4

Media includes sale of tablets

Commentary and Metrics Payments Revenue Model Franciscopie - Hat sate for voice marginizate Average to respect our arrest extra \$20 or) **Payments** Ayorage (mranichen pace is \$1.99 · Consists of inmate, P&P, court and phone payments Email Revenue Model Pay per stamp, puded on average at 90 % mach. · Each letter requires 1 stamp. A phoso attachment requires. I stamp A Virted Sam requires 3 Samps Video Visitation Revenue Model Pay per sides section prood or average 54.96 citizen Grievances Revenue Model Music Revenue Model 3F4 tablels – Sd9 50 ; 4ablet JP5 piblis = \$119.00 (lable) Transactions – price / downloaded song Average selling price per track is \$1.24 - \$1.82 eBook Revenue Model Digital Media Pay per 6Book sorvivoud Education · Free for mast emiterals Fees for premium credent Dumons Games

Extensive State Penetration and Strong Market Position


Currently serves agencies in 29 states, including 9 of the top 10 largest state prison systems 18 county correctional systems including NYCDOC as well as 3 private federal prisons



Selected Facilities

SECURUS Technologies | 34

Expansive Target Market



¹ Some agencies are serviced by competitors but the majority of agencies have yet to adopt the services

Strong Relationships with Top Clients

- Largest client represents approximately 13% of revenue with only one other client more than 10%
- The Company's top 10 clients have an average tenure of six years
- Significant opportunity to grow existing relationships with top clients through introduction of new products

				Prod	ucts						
Client	Client	Money Transfer	Money Transfer P&P	Email	Music	Video Visitation	VideoGrams	2013 Revenue	% of Total	2014 Revenue	% of Total
State 1	Jan-08							\$3.4	6.8%	\$3.8	5.4%
State 2	Jul-05							7.0	14.0%	9.4	13.3%
State 3	Dec-08							3.8	7.6%	4.1	5.8%
	Oct-07							1.9	3.8%	2.9	4.1%
State 4	Mar-08	•						2.2	4.4%	2.5	3.5%
State 5		•	•					3.2	6.4%	3.6	5.1%
State 6	Jan-09	•						1.7	3.4%	5.7	8.1%
State 7	Dec-08	•						3.5	7.0%	3.6	5.1%
State 8	Jul-03	•						6.5	13.0%	7.2	10.2%
State 9	Nov-03	•						1.7	3.4%	2.1	3.0%
State 10	Jul-12	-	the same of			1	Faller	\$34.9	69.9%	\$44.9	63.5%
Top 10								\$15.0	30.1%	\$25.5	36.5%
Other Clien	Is	-	Internation		100			\$49.9	100.0%	\$70.4	100.0%

Solutions & Services


Payments Industry-Leading Solution

Overview

- Enables friends and family to securely send money to inmates through multiple channels including:
- JPay com
- Mobile application (Android & Phusia)
- · MoneyGram Payment Systems (Cash)
- · 24/7 call center
- · In-tig lidy liably and booking klasks.
- Money order forkbus
- JPay has an exclusive partnership with MoneyGram Payment Systems, which has over 30,000 agent locations rationwide, including walk-up facilities in all Wal-Mart's in the United States
- Payment channels also collect parole and probation payments, prison phone payments and court related payments

2014 Key Metrics							
State Clients	29						
County Clients	18						
Offenders Served	2.3 million						
Adoption Rate	~31%						
Number of Transfers	~7.5M						
Transfer Volume	~525M						
Average \$ per Transfer	~\$70.00						





Communication: Email Seamless Electronic Communication

Overview

- Inbound email allows friends and family to send emails, add photo attachments and send short VideoGrams to inmates
- Outbound email enables inmates to respond directly from a kiosk or tablet
- Email messages are received by inmates usually within hours
- Each 5,000 character email costs one JPay stamp
- Photo attachments and VideoGrams cost additional stamps
 - Inmates and customers can participate by purchasing a JPay stamp package using a credit/debit card

\$10.0 \$8.0 \$6.0 \$4.0 \$2.0 \$0.0

2014 Key Metrics State Clients 17 Inmates Served 500,000 Revenue \$8.5 million



Communication: VideoGram™ Streamlined Inmate Communications

Overview

- VideoGrams are 30 second video clips transferred between friends and family and inmates
- Friends and family create the video clip from JPay's smartphone app (iPhone and Android)
- Inmates view and respond from the kiosk and a video conversation ensures.
- VideoGrams alleviate scheduling issues and has become very popular
- Recently deployed in the state prison systems of Indiana, Ohio, North Dakota, Kansas, Washington

2014 Key Metrics

State Clients

Total VideoGrams

\$ per VideoGram

51

60,000

\$0.90







Friends & Family

Several agencies in pilot phase




Inmate

Digital Media: Music Robust Media Library

Overview

- Offers a digital media library through kiosks where inmates can browse, preview and download music
- Access to over 11 million songs updated daily through JPay's proprietary music store
- Digital media is downloaded, stored and consumed by inmates from the JP4 mini tablet
 - The JP4 mini tablet comes with an alarm clock, FM radio, photo keeper, and free games
 - Lightweight, made of clear plastic casing, built to withstand abnormal wear and tear



2014 Key Metrics State Clients 9 JP4 Devices Sold 56,000 Songs Downloaded 4.3 million

Music Process Detail

Browse, Purchase and Download Music



JPay Inmate Kiosk

Connect via



Tablet

Digital Media: eBooks Robust eBook library

Overview

- eBook Reader app enables inmates to preview, buy and store thousands of books
- eBooks are available in several languages including Spanish, French, Russian and German
- A text-to-speech capability built into the device can transform eBooks into audio books, ideal for inmates with literacy limitations
- · Flexible size, brightness and other viewing features

2014 Key Metrics

State Clients

11

Total eBooks Downloaded

9,500

\$ per eBook

\$1.00 - \$29.99

1 State in pilot phase



Digital Media: Education Changing the prison systems from the inside out

JPay's education product offers a host of non-degree, free coursework material as well as degree-seeking opportunities including high school and college level courses

- Leverages secure, tablet-based content delivery that increases the availability, scale and variety of existing educational programs
- Includes coursework from Ashland University, Khan Academy, GED Prep, non-profit and government funded material
- Coursework and materials are loaded remotely by educators and content providers
- The content is then available to any inmate from the kiosk in his living unit
- Inmates with tablets can download the material, learn in their cells and then upload coursework for professors to grade







Industry Overview


Multiples Revenue Opportunities Multiple Target Markets with Robust Growth Opportunities for All Product



System	inmates (m)	Transactions/ Inmates	Revenue/ Transaction	Total Revenue (m)
State	1.4	12	\$6.00	\$99
County	0.8	12	6.00	58
Federal	0.2	12	6.00	15
P&P	5.0	12	5.00	298
International	0.6	12	6.00	44
Total Market	7.9	12	\$6.00	\$514



2 Media Includes: music, eBooks, other



System	Inmates (m)	Communication ¹ Revenue (m)	Media ² Revenue (m)	Total Revenue (m)
State	1.4	\$444	\$243	\$687
County	0.8	254	139	393
Federal	0.2	63	35	98
International	0.6	190	104	294
Total Market	3.0	\$951	\$521	\$1,472

Competitive Differentiation

The acquisition of JPay results in a comprehensive communication and tech-enabled solution provider

				Competitors			
	(Jpay)	SECURUS		1:4 Keefe	GTL	○ Telmate	
Commentary	Comprehensive payments, communications and digital media service provider to state, federal and county correctional facilities Offers communication and information management solutions Prepaid phone cards		+ (pa)	Primarily commissary distribution provider Partners with providers or delivers additional complementary corrections solutions	offers communication solutions and inmate phone and offender management systems	 Phone company, with increasing technology capabilities Offers phone and video mostly to county jails 	
Pay's Core							
Money Transfer Licensed			•		4	192	
Communications		•	•	•	•	0	
Digital Media		4		•			
Other Products Marketed to Corrections:						-	
Commissary & Ordering	1	1		•			
Phones		•	0	•	4		

Complimentary Geographic Footprints

Securus and JPay overlap in only 11% of the markets they serve⁽¹⁾

Payments

- 1. Arizona
- 2. Connecticut
- 3. Florida
- 4. Illinois
- 5. Kentucky
- 6. Louisiana
- 7. North Dakota
- 8. Pennsylvania
- 9. Texas

Communications

- 1. Kentucky
- 2. Louisiana
- 3. North Dakota
- 4. Pennsylvania
- 5. Texas

Digital Media

- 1. Louisiana
- 2. North Dakota

Low overlap in the geographies of both Securus and JPay provides a significant opportunity to expand the products of both companies into a larger and, as-of-yet, unpenetrated base


Calculation assumes a total market size of 150 (50 states x 3 key products). Areas of overlap is the sum of common states for each of the 3 key product s (9 + 5 + 2).

Financial Results

Summary Income Statement - JPay

(\$ in 000s)	2011	2012	2013	2014	
Revenue					
Payments	\$26,735	\$31,543	\$40,531	\$53,913	
Communications	3,159	3,409	4,960	8,519	
Media	514	1,146	4,375	7,971	
New Products	-	_	-		
Total Revenue	\$30,408	\$36,096	\$49,866	\$70,403	
% Growth	29.3%	18.7%	38.1%	41.2%	
Cost of Sales				\$0.59A	
Merchant bank discount fees	\$7,206	\$6,399	\$7,390	\$9,584	
Salaries, payroll taxes & benefits	2,404	6,167	6,977	8,281	
Agency commissions	2,536	3,061	4,898	7,078	
Media product and download costs	570	1,270	3,092	5,100	
Other	7,402	6,038	6,331	8,630	
Total Cost of Sales	\$20,117	\$22,935	\$28,689	\$38,673	
Gross Profit	\$10,291	\$13,161	\$21,177	\$31,729	
Gross Margin %	33.8%	36.5%	42.5%	45.1%	
Selling, General & Administrative Expenses	\$11,063	\$12,089	\$15,774	\$17,861	
% of Revenue	36.4%	33.5%	31.6%	25.4%	
Total Operating Expenses	\$31,180	\$35,024	\$44,463	\$56,534	
Reported EBITDA	\$520	\$709	\$5,499	\$14,783	
Reported EBITDA Margin %	1.7%	2.0%	11.0%	21.0%	

Agenda



Appendix

Summary Historical Financials - Securus

(\$ in millions)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Total Gross Revenue	\$330.1	\$320.5	\$340.2	\$366.7	\$404.6
% Growth	(3.1%)	(2.9%)	6 1%	7.8%	10.3%
Gross Profit	\$120.4	\$132.0	\$145.4	\$159.2	\$206.7
Gross Margin % (of gross revenue)	36.5%	41.2%	42.7%	43.4%	51.1%
Adj. EBITDA ⁽¹⁾	\$59.7	\$68.6	\$78.5	\$87.0(2)	\$114.6(2)
Adj. EBITDA Margin % (of gross revenue)	18.1%	21.4%	23.1%	23.7%	28.3%
Capital Expenditures	\$19.4	\$22.6	\$24.6	\$23.3	\$32.6
% of gross revenue	5.9%	7.1%	7.2%	6.3%	8.1%
Adj. EBITDA less Capital Expenditures	\$40.2	\$46.0	\$54.0	\$63.8	\$82.0
% of gross revenue	12.1%	14.4%	16.0%	17.0%	20.0%

[\]Adjusted for addbacks per credit agreement \alpha Adjusted for 3ci Software and consolidated acquisitions in 2013; adjusted for 3ci Software and consolidated acquisitions in 2013